CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

Thereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, Pp. 0-Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date: 22313-1450, on the below date:
Date: September 19, 2005 Name: John C. Freeman, Registration No. 34,483 Signature:

BRINKS HOFE F GILSON &LIONE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Appln. of:	G. Guy	/an et	al.							
Appln. No.:		09/667,611					Examiner: V. Frenel				
Filed	:	Septer	nber 2	2, 2000			Art U	nit:	3626		
For:		EVALU	JATIO	IIGHLY REFINE N INFORMATIC VEB INTERFAC	N ACRO		·				
Attor	ney Docket	No:	1002	2/219							
Comm P. O. I	top AF nissioner for F Box 1450 ndria, VA 223						ΓRANSI	VIIT	TAL		
Sir:											
Fee ca	Return Rece alculation: No additiona Small Entity. An extension A petition or	If fee is re n fee in ar processir I filing fee maining	equired. In amouning fee in the has be	e); Response. Int of \$ for a In an amount of \$ In een calculated as shapen cal	under :	37 C.F.R /:	Add'I Fee		T	§ 1.136(a). mall Entity Add'l Fee	
			,		<u></u>	То		1	Total	\$	
							<u> </u>	_1			

here the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on September 19, 2005

Date of Deposit

John C. Freeman

Name of applicant, assignee or

Registered Representative

Signature

Signature

Jobs

Date of Signature

Our Case No. 10022/219

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Application of:)	
G. Gu	yan et al.)	
Serial	No. 09/667,611) Examiner: V. Fi	renel
Filing	Date: September 22, 2000) Group Art Unit I	No. 3626
For	CAPTURE HIGHLY REFINED CLAIM EVALUATION INFORMATION ACROSS MULTIPLE WEB INTERFACES))))	

RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Response regards the Office Action mailed on July 18, 2005. This Response is necessary and was not earlier presented because it responds to the newly cited Devine et al. patent used to reject the claims. This Response is necessary and was not earlier presented because it